

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,315	12/11/2003	Paul Neiffer	2192-1-3	2254
996 7590 05/19/2006 EXAMINER				IINER
GRAYBEAL, JACKSON, HALEY LLP			HURLEY, SHAUN R	
155 - 108TH AVENUE NE SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	BELLEVUE, WA 98004-5901			
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/735,315	NEIFFER, PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shaun R. Hurley	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 D	ecember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolton (5988462).

Kolton teaches a hanger (Abstract) comprising a plastic (Column 3, line 5) body and hook, the hook portion having at least one recess with a frictionally retained plastic insert contained therein (Figures 2 and 3), wherein the hanger may display an item for sale and hold a product inside it (Figure 7). Kolton also teaches the method of forming such a product by snapping together the components.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,315 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5, 9-11, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolton.

Kolton essentially teaches the invention as discussed above, but fails to specifically teach the use of PET. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize PET as the plastic material, so as to keep costs down and production time low. PET is readily available and reasonably priced, and for the strength required of a hanger, would be an ideal and obvious choice to the ordinarily skilled artisan. In regards to the specific friction device utilized to retain the insert, or the method by which the hanger is formed, all of which are obvious choices by the ordinarily skilled artisan, dependent on the final use and production equipment available. There is no difference in their ultimate function insomuch as they all provide the same result; mainly a retained insert in a formed hanger.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolton in view of Hollingsworth.

Kolton essentially teaches the invention as discussed above, but fails to specifically teach a torso shaped body, which Hollingsworth teaches as well known (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize a torso

Application/Control Number: 10/735,315

Art Unit: 3765

shaped body, so as to provide a smooth surface upon which a product could be displayed. The ordinarily skilled artisan would appreciate the benefits of such a well known structure, and would have known to use this shape.

Page 4

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Louw (2003/0173381) teaches what is well known in the art.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 am - 3:00 pm, off second Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shaun R Hurley

Examiner

Art Unit 3765